### HARRISON TOWNSHIP BZA August 24, 2021

Members present: Ricky Biniker, Rachael Mattis Bissett, Jack Treinish, John McGowan, and Gerald Saffo. Absent: Jeremy Nestor.

Mr. Saffo will be a voting member due to the absence of Mr. Nestor.

Also present: Valerie Hans, Zoning Inspector and Vickie Noble, Zoning Secretary

Present for the Public Hearing: Marilyn Martin of Anderson Reporting.

Guests: Roger Householder, Gregory Clark, Janie Treinish, Connie Klema, Noah and Tracy Kelley, Brian Thompson, Scott Minard, Justine Minard, Steve Bodie, Zane Wilson, Carey Scott, Pat Howard, Teri Clark, Tim Gilbert, Carel and Bonnie Ellinger, Alex Castoe, Dwight and Rose Gibson, Paula Dildine, Nelson Bernor, Dan and Erin Gervais, Randy Colvin, Mike Kirkwood, Dawn Williams, Walter Meadows, Kevin Kerr, Brandon Brumbaugh, Mary Iden, Tony Arata, Brandon Boehm, Steve Fazekas, Penny Fazekas, Kevin Johnson, Rhonda Householder, Patrick Foley, Craig S. Vanhorn, Kyle Deptula, Katie Fazekas.

Ricky Biniker called the meeting to order at 6:30 pm with all standing for the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. Treinish moved to approve the minutes from May 25, 2021. Mr. McGowan seconded. The motion passed with unanimous ayes.

The board was asked for their availability for September. A variance application is expected to be submitted to the office. A date of September 14, 2021 was available for all the members present.

#### **PUBLIC HEARING**

The purpose of the Public Hearing is to consider a Variance Application submitted by Mike and Jocelyn Green and a Variance Application submitted by Connie Klema representing Front Porch Investments LLC.

The public hearing was called to order at 7:00 pm.

All who wished to speak during the public hearing were sworn in by the court reporter.

The first application was submitted by Mike and Jocelyn Greene for property located at 4261 Wesleyan Church Rd. Granville, OH 43023.

The applicants are requesting a 10-foot front yard setback to build a house 40 feet from the front property line.

Harrison Twp. Board of Zoning Appeals August 24, 2021

Final - Approved

Mr. Biniker read the application with all pertinent materials.

Kevin Kerr is present to represent the applicant. He said he would answer any questions.

Ms. Hans report:

\*

August 24, 2021

Harrison Township Zoning Inspector's report and recommendation for a variance application for 4261 Wesleyan Church Rd., S.W., Granville, Ohio 43023 submitted by Mike & Jocelyn Greene.

The applicant is proposing to build a new home on their property 40 feet from the front property line.

This recommendation will follow the requirements of the Harrison Township Zoning Resolution Section 4.12 2. a-g Area Variance. The Board of Zoning Appeals shall review the particular facts and circumstances of this area variance in terms of the following standards and shall find adequate answers to the questions that establish the criteria for establishing practical difficulty in the use of the property.

a. Whether the property in questions will yield a reasonable return or whether there can be any beneficial use of the property without a variance.

The property in question would be extremely difficult to build on without a variance because of its irregular shape and large amounts of ODOT and township road ROW. In addition, the leach field for their septic complicates the setback issue further as it cannot be in the road ROW. I feel that it would be difficult to yield a reasonable return and beneficial use of the property without a variance due to these lot hardships on the property.

b. Whether the variance is substantial.

The property is zoned Residential (R-70). The R-70 zoning district requires a front yard setback of 50 feet from the front property line. The variance is not substantial as it reflects a 20% (10 foot) variance from the 50-foot minimum front yard setback.

c. Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or whether adjoining properties would suffer a substantial detriment as a result of the variance.

The essential character of the neighborhood would not be substantially altered, as there are other homes in the area that sit close to the road. The neighborhood is comprised of both homes and farms.

d. Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services (e.g., water, sewer, garbage, medical, fire, police).

This variance will not influence delivery of any governmental services as it does not change the ability for those services to reach existing residences.

e. Whether the property owner purchased the property with knowledge of the zoning restriction.

The property owner stated that they were not aware of the zoning restriction when they purchased the property. This issue came to light when they were attempting to locate an appropriate leach field location.

f. Whether the property owner's predicament feasibly can be prevented or corrected through some method other than a variance.

No, due to the shape of the lot and large amounts of ODOT and township road ROW, the only option to build and place the leach field on the property is to apply for and receive a variance.

g. Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting the variance.

The spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would still be observed, and substantial justice would be done by granting the variance as it will promote a use that is in harmony with the existing residential uses in the neighborhood.

#### Zoning Inspector's Recommendation

I would recommend the following consideration for this variance if considering approval.

1. That the applicant will comply with all other requirements of the Harrison Township Zoning Resolution.

Respectfully submitted, Valerie L. Hans Harrison Township Zoning Inspector

There were no comments or questions from the floor.

Mr. Biniker asked if a pump station was out of the question. Mr. Kerr said it was and that a line would have to be bored under State Route 16.

Mr. McGowan moved to approve the application. Mr. Saffo seconded.

Vote: Ricky Biniker-yes, Rachael Mattis Bissett-yes, Jack Treinish-yes, John McGowan-yes, Gerald Saffo-yes.

The second application was submitted by Connie J. Klema representing Front Porch Investments LLC. The applicant is requesting lot width variances on two parcels at 4663 Columbus Rd. SW, Granville, OH 43023. For the R-15 zoned lots fronting on Cherokee Trail: The request is to permit two lots to have 16 feet of road frontage and six lots to have 15 feet of road frontage instead of the required 100' for the R-15 district. For the B-1/R-15 zoned lot fronting on Cherokee Trail: The request is to permit 16 feet of road frontage instead of the required 150' for the B-1 district.

Mr. Treinish stepped off the board for this application due to being an adjacent/adjoining property owner.

Mr. Biniker read the application with all pertinent materials.

Harrison Twp. Board of Zoning Appeals August 24, 2021 Ms. Klema stated the developer is a local resident. She said the property is zoned R-15 and has two frontages on Cherokee Trail. She also said the property could be developed into a subdivision with possibly 140 lots.

Ms. Hans report:

\*

August 24, 2021

Harrison Township Zoning Inspector's report & recommendation for variance application for 4663 Columbus Rd. SW, Granville, Ohio 43023 submitted by Connie J. Klema. This 49.43 +/- acre lot has 145.31 +/- feet of total road frontage on Cherokee Trail, spaced between two access points 50 feet and 95.31 feet respectively. There is a separate B-1 zoned lot 1.87 +/- acres in size with 67.07 +/- feet of road frontage at 4663 Columbus Rd. S.W. that is not included in this application. However, the application lists the property address as 4663 Columbus Rd. S.W. for both properties. Currently, the Indian Hills Golf Course clubhouse, parking and maintenance building are located on the 4663 Columbus Rd. S.W. lot.

The applicant's client is proposing to subdivide the 49.43 +/- acre lot into nine (9) proposed lots. The proposed site is primarily zoned R-15 with a small amount of B-1 zoned property that is located at the northwest corner of the site. They are proposing the following lot split scenario. The 50 feet of frontage on Cherokee Trail will be divided into three lots each with 16 +/- feet of frontage. The 95.31 +/- feet of frontage on Cherokee Trail will be dived into 6 lots each with 15 +/- feet of frontage. All 9 lots would be 5 + acres. The three (3) lots that are proposed to have 16 +/- feet of road frontage would utilize a shared /common driveway. The six (6) lots that are proposed to have 15 +/- feet of road frontage would utilize a second shared/common driveway.

This recommendation will follow the requirements of the Harrison Township Zoning Resolution Section 4.12 2. a-g Area Variance. The Board of Zoning Appeals shall review the particular facts and circumstances of this area variance in terms of the following standards and shall find adequate answers to the questions that establish the criteria for establishing practical difficulty in the use of the property.

a. whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or whether there can be any beneficial use of the property without a variance.

This property could still yield a reasonable return and be used for a residential purpose as it is in the R-15 and B-1 districts and could be developed as a subdivision without the need for variances.

#### b. whether the variance is substantial.

The property is zoned Residential (R-15). The R-15 zoning district requires a minimum lot width (road frontage) of 100 feet. Two (2) of the proposed lots which are entirely in the R-15 district have 16 feet of lot width which represents an 84% variance. Six (6) of the proposed lots which are entirely in the R-15 district have 15 feet of lot width which represents an 85% variance. The last proposed lot which is primarily in the B-1 district with a small amount of R-15 property to allow for a driveway into the lot is 16 feet in lot width which represents a 90% variance if calculated by the B-1 minimum standard of 150 feet of lot width. These

Harrison Twp. Board of Zoning Appeals August 24, 2021 variances are substantial as they reflect an 84% (84 foot), 85% (85 foot) and 90% (134 foot) variances from the 100-foot minimum lot frontage in the R-15 and 150-foot minimum lot frontage in the B-1 districts.

# c. whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or whether adjoining properties would suffer a substantial detriment as a result of the variance.

The essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered as the neighborhood would suffer a substantial detriment from this project proceeding without going through subdivision regulations, technical review committee (TRC), stormwater, engineering, and emergency services review. The property being proposed for development already has issues with runoff into the neighboring subdivision. If this property were developed as a subdivision, it would go through the proper stormwater review process to manage and potentially mitigate the water runoff issues on site. In the present configuration, this project would move forward with limited review and regard for managing the stormwater issues.

# d. whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services (e.g., water, sewer, garbage, medical, fire, police).

If granted the variance, the proposed lots would have shared driveways with no oversight on turning radii for governmental service delivery vehicles. This could affect the delivery of those services to the newly created lots. However, the existing neighborhood should not be affected.

#### e. whether the property owner purchased the property with knowledge of the zoning restrictions.

The property owner has developed several lots and built several homes in Harrison Township over the past 5 years; they are familiar with the rules and requirements for lot sizes, widths, and setbacks.

# f. whether the property owner's predicament feasibly can be prevented or corrected through some other method other than a variance.

Yes, the property owner could develop this property as a subdivision.

### g. whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting the variance.

The spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would not be observed, and substantial justice would not be done by granting the variance as the existing residential uses in the neighborhood were developed as a subdivision with the rules and requirements of our zoning code being met. The proposed lots would change the character of that neighborhood and bypass the regulations for having appropriate lot frontage, subdivision, technical, stormwater, engineering, and emergency services review.

#### **Zoning Inspector's recommendation:**

It would be my recommendation to deny the variance request as submitted based on the information listed below.

1. The proposed lot splits represent 84%, 85%, and 90% variances from the minimum lot frontage standards set forth in the Harrison Township Zoning Resolution. This is a substantial variation from the minimum standards.

Harrison Twp. Board of Zoning Appeals August 24, 2021

- 2. The property owner could still yield a reasonable return and have a beneficial use of the property by creating a subdivision which would go through the subdivision and technical review processes. These processes help to ensure that stormwater, emergency services, engineering, and access management principles are followed to help the newly developed land assimilate into the existing community.
- 3. There are no physical constraints to the proposed property driving a need for the variances related to the topography, ravines and/or flood plains.

### Respectfully submitted, Valerie L. Hans Harrison Township Zoning Inspector

Ms. Klema asked to use the board in front of the room to illustrate the location of the proposed driveways.

Mr. McGowan asked if the drawing Ms. Klema presented was the same as the drawing in the application. Ms. Klema said it was the same. She said the county engineer will have to approve the driveways and will look at the radius.

Mr. Saffo asked about subdivisions. Ms. Klema said a subdivision can be done but it would contain public roads not driveways.

Ms. Hans said if a variance is not granted it would have to go through subdivision regulations. She is concerned about water runoff not being resolved. Ms. Klema said you go through subdivisions because of things such as rooftops and pavement. Mr. Saffo asked about water issues. Ms. Klema said her client is willing to explore runoff issues and would address the issues.

Mr. Biniker asked for public comments and questions.

Greg Clark is representing a group of residents. He said they are happy about the 5 acre lots and are happy with the builder. The concern of the residents is the reduced lot frontage. The residents had to have a 100' frontage when they built their houses. One of the biggest issues now is drainage from the golf course. Houses will increase the problem. He also brought up issues with utilities, sewage backup, and setbacks. Since the property is connected to Columbus Rd, could the road come in from there?

Tracy Kelly has lived in Indian Hills for 4 years. She is concerned about drainage issues. She has installed French drains and still has drainage issues from the golf course. She is welcoming to 9 lots but feels the long driveways will create more water on her property. She also asked about setbacks. Ms. Hans said setback requirements will have to be followed. Ms. Klema said the current subdivision did not address the drainage issues; her client would be happy to have conditions for water issues. She also said the setbacks would be further than required.

Greg Clark asked if the developer had purchased the entire golf course. Ms. Klema said the B-1 property was purchased but not for this purpose.

Harrison Twp. Board of Zoning Appeals August 24, 2021 Questions about the 4663 Columbus Rd. address on the application. Ms. Klema said this address came from the auditor's office.

Janie Treinish made references to a property on Outville Road with a shared driveway leading to several lots. She doesn't like the look of multiple mailboxes and trashcans at the entrance. She said Outville Road is a country road where Cherokee Trail is not. She also questioned where fire vehicles would access water. She pointed out that several of the proposed lots were already under contract. She feels that the statement of a possible subdivision with 120 lots feels like a threat.

Steve Fazekas wants to know where the water will come from. He said the water pressure already is horrible. This plan will put three houses behind his property with the driveway next to his back yard. He questions what will happen in the winter when the driveways need to be plowed...will the snow end up in his back yard?

Kevin Johnson asked Ms. Hans about subdivision requirements. Ms. Hans explained the requirements.

Penny Fazekas asked how far the driveway would be from her property line. Randy Colvin didn't know how far the driveways would be from the property line. He said the houses being built would be in the range of \$700,000 to \$1,000,000.

Mary Iden said her property is in the middle of the proposed driveways. She is concerned about the closeness of the driveways. Ms. Klema said they are willing to listen and to address drainage issues.

Mr. Colvin said there are two separate parcels. The 50 acres has legal frontage on Cherokee.

Tony Arata is concerned about safety issues and drainage issues. He presented pictures of flooding where the driveways are to go.

Brandon Boehm said this is not just a golf course problem. It is also a neighborhood problem. He appreciated the applicant's willingness to address the drainage issues. He would like to see a sewage assessment.

Ms. Klema said they are willing to have conditions to have a hydraulic study. The utilities will be public utilities not personal systems.

Carel Ellinger lives on the west side of the golf course. He is against approving the application.

Patrick Foley feels there are problems with the Harrison Township zoning code. Ms. Hans explained that the zoning code is being updated. She explained the process and added that the township is limited by the Ohio Revised Code on some things.

Zane Wilson asked if the application had to be voted on tonight. Ms. Klema said her clients would like to have a vote tonight. Mr. Wilson would like to see other solutions considered.

Harrison Twp. Board of Zoning Appeals August 24, 2021

Final - Approved

Scott Minard asked if the developer has taken possession of the property and asked about the lots that are already in contract. Ms. Klema said the developer does have possession of the property.

Dwight Gibson inquired about a storm water plan and asked if there is a county ditch petition.

Carey Scott feels there will be sewage problems and that the discharge system in the area is not adequate.

Janie Treinish pointed out the variance is for lot frontage.

Tracy Kelly provided the board with a petition signed by 40 residents of Indian Hills subdivision. (Petition attached to the minutes.)

Mr. McGowan asked what options the board had. Ms. Hans said the board could vote to approve, approve with conditions, deny or table the application.

After a discussion on the options Mr. Biniker moved to table the application. Mr. McGowan seconded.

Vote: Ricky Biniker-yes, Rachael Mattis Bissett-no, John McGowan-yes, Gerald Saffo-yes.

The application will be tabled. The applicant will be advised of the new date for a Public Hearing.

At 9:30 Mr. Biniker closed the Public Hearing

Respectfully submitted

Vickie Noble, Zoning Secretary Ricky Biniker, Chair

The Public Hearing was professionally recorded by Anderson Reporting

The residents of Indian Hills subdivision are welcoming of the new development proposed at 4663 Columbus Rd. SW Granville, Oh 43023 with 9, five+ acre lots. However, we believe the shortcuts proposed are not in the best interest of Indian Hills. Below are our concerns regarding the variances listed in Article 4.12(2)(a-g):

- The frontage variance is an 85% reduction from what the rest of the neighborhood has been required to have (15'-16' vs the required 100'). The character of the neighborhood will be changed due to this proposed deduction. As will the direct impact next to the 382, 430 and 450 Cherokee Trail residences. The neighborhood will not be preserved/enhanced due to the larger lots, as the neighboring lots will
- have flaglots/driveways running along the property lines.
- Drainage is a main concern due to the already excessive runoff from property 4663 Columbus Rd. onto neighboring properties within Indian Hills, which then tie into the main drainage along Cherokee Tl.
- As a neighborhood that already struggles with narrow roads and poor drainage, we propose the entrance to these 9 lots be off of Columbus Rd as the main entrance of the property.

Below are signatures/addresses of those of	pposed to the proposed frontage variance:	
NAME	ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
Jody G Cambraia	141 Cherokee Trl	Sody & Camp
PAULO C. CAMBRISIA	141 CHEROKEE TRADE	Palescx
Tracy Kelley	492 Cherokee Tri	Thelley,
Noan Kelley	492 Cherokee Tri	And Tilly
GKEGORY J CLARK	481 CHEROMEETEI	Degree Cess
Teri Clark	481 Cherokee Trl	SixCark
May wen	430 cherokee Tro	Mary Oden
Jag arata	430 CHEROKEL TR.	To Moto
Scott Minard	371 Cherolee tol.	Jan 2
Sustine Minard	371 Cherokel Trl	Justine mimored
Jennifer Boenm	10 Commanche CT	James Brocken
CORROW DE	381 CHEROKER THOL	Breson Ja
Filter Dale	1163 Cherokee TRL	Golf Jale

Page 1/2

		,
Staren Kyle	262 Cheedle Tem)	Slang
haure Tistor	471 Cherope Trail	Taglia Heder
Paul Tudor	471 Cherokectrail	get Jula
Penny Fazekas	480 Cherokee Trad	Penny tagh
Steve Fazekas	480 Cherotee Trail	Steve Tauker
Rhondatouseholder	121 Cherokae trail	There there
ROGER HOUSEHOLDER	121 CHEROKEE TRAN	Begar Householder
BRANDONBRUMBAUGH	30 COMMANCHE CT.	POFF
KELLY SAIR	163 CHEROCETE TE.	D. Wille
Darnell Williams	91 Cherokec TK	Dellution
Dawn Williams	91 Cherokee Trl.	Jan WHS
Jack Treins	513 Cherokee Tol	At Je
Janie Treinish	513 Cherokee TA	Jeure Deino
Monnie Sagar	450 Cheroker Trail	Monif
Brad Sagar		Knn g
Welson BERNOR.	401 Cheaoxee Tal	1 W.S
Paula Dildine	401 Charokee Trail	Fail Colon
Pat Howard	7921 Gale Rd. SW	- Bat Kend
KATIE FAZEKAS	488 Cherokee Trail	Kapi A Jales
Amber Schmitter	43 thowatha Trail	And dimitice
Kurd Schmitter	43 Himsatha Trail	The Hard

Page 2/2

### RESIDENTS OF INDIAN HILLS SUBDIVISION, GRANVILLE OHIO

The residents of Indian Hills subdivision are welcoming of the new development proposed at 4663 Columbus Rd SW Granville, Oh 43023 with 9, five) acre lots. However, we believe the shortcuts proposed are not in the best interest of Indian Hills. Below are our concerns regarding the variances listed in Article 4.12(2)(a-g):

- The frontage variance is an 85% reduction from what the rest of the neighborhood has been required to have (15°-16' vs the required 100°). The character of the neighborhood will be changed due to this proposed deduction. As will the direct impact next to the 382, 430 and 450 Cherokee Trail residences. The neighborhood will not be preserved enhanced due to the larger lots, as the neighboring lots will have flaglots/driveways running along the property lines. Drainage is a main concern due to the already excessive funoff from property 4663 Columbus Rd onto neighboring properties within Indian Hills, which then tie into the main drainage along Cherokee TL. As a neighborhood that already struggles with narrow roads and poor drainage, we propose the entrance to these 9 lots be off of Columbus Rd as the main entrance of the property.

Below are signatures/addresses of those op	poved to the proposed frontage variance;	
SAME.	ADDRESS	SIGNATURE.
Tammy Dean JAMES DEAN	19 Commanche Ct Gramite 19 Commanche CT. Lessaveux	Janny Dean
JAMES DEAH	19 Commissione CT. GRANVILLE	1~ f. o-

Page 1/2

Dould Wester Chartel Wantz	21 Charotee TVI	Orde with
Chartel Wantz	21 cherotee Trl	Orale with

Page 2/2

SW Gram ille. Oh 43023 with 9, five+ acre lots. However, we believe the shortcuts proposed are not in the best interest of Indian Hills. Below are our concerns regarding the variances listed in Article 4.12(2)(a-g) The residents of Indian Hills subdivision is welcoming of the new development proposed at 4663 Columbus Rd

- The frontage variance is an 85% reduction from what the rest of the neighborhood has been required to proposed deduction. As will the direct impact next to the 382, 430 and 480 Cherokee Trail residences have (15'-16' vs the required 100'). The character of the neighborhood will be changed due to this
- have daglots driveways running along the property lines The neighborhood will not be preserved enhanced due to the larger lots, as the neighboring lots will
- Drainage is a main concern due to the already excessive runoff from property 4663 Columbus Rd. onto neighboring properties within Indian Hills, which then tie into the main drainage along Cherokee Tl.

400/0		
	-	
40000	130	
British M		
-		
1.6	ા માર્ગુઓ	
6	~	
_		
Magazini.		
-	t-mark	
-	and.	
Prince.	Section 1	
1	**************************************	
prosper	N. 1000-2	
-	7	
	-	
	-	
	Angennine*	
	and the same	
-	and the same	
	dentile.	
	2,000.0	
	(2.2)	
-	No. of Street	
	-	
Posterio.	200	
Arrang	-	
fembra		
200	5.00	
-7.	and the same	
	ACCOUNT.	
7.7	and the same	
_	1117	
	TTC:	
E-0		
100	-	
with the		
ACRES		
~	-	
Mineral	Proposition 19	
Mary A	-	
-	- CARRE	
	200.0	
	Married World	
600	- David	
100	-	
5-19		
	Barrier .	
-	-	
	F	
۲.	-	
process.	5.85	
100		
	Account.	
preme	Account?	
Accessed.	-	
-	***************************************	
ots be off of Columbus Rd as the main entrance of the property.	1	
	-	
	Copper Co	
-		
6	_	
area.	Accord-	
profession.	5.0	
	North C	
•	towns.	
	- inch	
	1	
	6.0	
	•	
	10 mm	
	-	
	genneg	
	1000	
	WHAT THE	
	100	
	5-2	
	2	
	personal.	
	manuf.	
	-	
	a neighborhood that already struggles with narrow roads and poor drainage, we propose the entra	
	200	
	-	
	-	
	-	

1	-
1	Share.
1	1.00
1	\$100mm
1	100
1	Page 1
1	-
ı	-
1	Name of Street
1	90000
1	10.00
1	1
1	PEC 75
1	4000
ı	Executive.
1	Strain.
1	17000
ł	-
١	1.0
1	-
1	100
1	_
1	1000
ł	ignature s'addresses of thes
ı	-
1	-
1	100
1	100
١	
١	
1	STATE OF
4	-
٦	-
١	oppo
1	
	100
١	1000
١	
	=
	Ē
	10 12
	11 01 113
	All of his
	All of ha
	d squarps
	ed to the pr
	ord salt or pa
	doud appoint
	odoud ago or pa
	sadond aquan pa
	seadond say or pa
	ed to the proposed
	ed to the proposed t
A STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN NAMED IN	ri pasodoni aqi or pa
	ari pascodo a di mi mi m
	ed to the proposed from
	ed to the proposed front
	ethor) pasodoni apport
Annual Control of the	ed to the proposed frontag
	ed to the proposed frontage
	ed to the proposed frontage
	sed to the proposed frontage v
	ed to the proposed frontage va
	ed to the proposed frontage var
	ed to the proposed frontage vari
	ed to the proposed frontage varia
	ed to the proposed frontage varian
	ed to the proposed frontage variance
	ed to the proposed frontage variance

Below are signatures/addresses of those opposed to the proposed frontage variance	pesed to the proposed frontage variance:	
MME	ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
Amanda kostyak	351 Quroke Trl. Granilly, OH 18023	()hhy)
Neal Kostyak	351 Charokac Tri. Granville, OH 43023	Jany Shull